



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/712,246	11/15/2000	Tetsushi Tanizaki	49657-875	8725

20277 7590 08/17/2005

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
600 13TH STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096

EXAMINER

CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2133

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/712,246

Applicant(s)

TANIZAKI ET AL.

Examiner

Mujtaba K. Chaudry

Art Unit

2133

All Participants:

(1) Mujtaba K. Chaudry.

(2) Tomoki Tanida.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 August 2005

Time: 2:00pm

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims 17-20

Claims discussed:

17-20

Prior art documents discussed:

USPN 5974579

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

8/9/05

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Rejections for claims 17-20 were discussed. Applicants' arguments regarding claims 19 and 20 were convincing. However, for claims 17 and 18 the rejections are maintained. Examiner will consider amendments to claims 17 and 18, if any, submitted prior to the next office action.